

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,338 10/30/2001		10/30/2001	Robert W. Stadler	P-7837.00	3322
27581	7590	12/08/2003		EXAMINER	
	ONIC, INC		LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ		
MS-LC340		ARKWAY NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEA	POLIS, MN	N 55432-5604	3762		
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/09/2003	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		\mathcal{O}_{c}					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Contract Andrew Commence	10/002,338	STADLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Carl N. Logno Carl H. Layno (1/25/03)	Art Unit 3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ite. cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u>October 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
(i)							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-59</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23,60 and 61</u> is/are objected to.	• • ———						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2002 is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the factor of the foreign language posterior of the foreign language posterior of the first sentence of Attachment(s)	nts have been received. Into have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into the certified copies not receive the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 first sentence of the specification of the provisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No red in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Anotice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/002,338

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449) which were received by the Office on February 15, 2002 and on September 22, 2003. These documents have been made of record in the file as Paper Nos.4 and 6, respectively.

Drawings

2. The formal drawings were received on January 23, 2002. These drawings are approved by both the Draftsperson and the Examiner.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- -In line 8, the words "the and left right atrium" appears to be a typographical error. To overcome this objection, the Examiner recommends changing this to "the left and right atrium".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

Application/Control Number: 10/002,338

Art Unit: 3762

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 55-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Smith '699-B1.

The Smith '699-B1 patent recites a system including an implantable medical device (IMD) **10** (Fig.4) including the use of a plurality of ultrasonic sonomicrometer transducers **60** positioned at various locations around the heart for measuring a physical parameter of the heart and using this parameter to adjust the operation of the IMD **10**. In operation, the transducers **60** monitor and send signals to an implanted electronics module **58** (col.7, lines 36-37) containing a ventricular assist device controller **54**. The signals processed by controller **54** include distance data (col.7, lines 40-41 and 59-60). Controller **54** then sends control information to IMD **10** (in this case a ventricular assist device).

In regard to claims 56 and 57, the piezoelectric crystals (i.e. sonomicrometer crystals) can be attached to the leads (plural) of a pacemaker (col.8, lines 30-38) to assist in the acquisition of "computed data" parameters used in altering the pacemaker's functionality (col.8, lines 59-66).

Art Unit: 3762

In regard to claim 58, the claimed structures of a "sensor" and "delivery circuit" read upon the modified pacemaker of Smith (col.8, lines 30-67), as set forth *supra* for claims 56-57 if it is assumed that the pacer is a dual-chambered pacemaker capable of pacing both the right atrium and right ventricle. Though the type of pacemaker used by Smith '699-B1 is not specified, the use of a dual-chamber pacemaker would have been an obvious, if not inherent, choice given its widespread use and availability.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 60-61 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 1-22 and 24-54 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Independent claims 1, 15, 18, 21, 24, 28, 42, 45, 49, and 51-53 all recite methods and apparatus claims pertaining to a plurality of medical functions involving implantable leads bearing sonomicrometer crystals to measure distances within the body. All of these claims recite the feature of "periodically energizing" the sonomicrometer crystals to generate an ultrasonic frequency emitted signal. The references of the prior art fail to teach this feature. Specifically, the Smith '699-B1 patent, cited *supra*, activates its sonomicrometers "continuously, or only during diagnostically relevant times" not "periodically", as claimed by the applicant. The

Application/Control Number: 10/002,338

Art Unit: 3762

Kresh et al '304 patent, cited by the applicant as prior art, is the only other patent which specifically mentions the use of an implantable pacemaker with a sonomicrometer sensor (col.4, lines 24-28). Unlike applicant's device, however, that of Kresh et al only recites the use of one endocardial electrode, which is used for pressure sensing, not sensing distances within the heart. Although many prior art patents cited by the applicant recite the use of sonomicrometer sensors used in the heart (Hunyor et al '375-A1, Pitsillides et al '656, Mueller '540, Vesely et al '638, '298), none of these recite specific use with an implantable pacemaker in the manner claimed by the applicant. As a result, the Examiner deems these claims and their depending claims to be allowable.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Budd et al '611 patent is cited for its pertinent teachings of a distance measuring catheter with sensors located in a patient's heart. Unlike applicant's device, the sensors are not sonomicrometer crystals nor is the catheter attached to an implantable medical device, as required by the applicant.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-3694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday from 9 AM to 6 PM and every

Art Unit: 3762

other Friday between 9AM and 5PM. A voice mail or E-mail message (<u>carl.layno@uspto.gov</u>) may be left if desired.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. All faxed communications should be sent to the Office's new Official FAX number (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) Brenda Webb whose telephone number is (703) 305-7520.

CARL LAYNO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Carl H. Layro

CHL 11/25/03